

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

**BEFORE THE ARIZONA MEDICAL BOARD**

In the Matter of

David I. Plumb, M.D.

Holder of License No. 37523  
For the Practice of Medicine  
In the State of Arizona

**Docket No. 07A-37523-MDX**

**Case No. MD-07-0892A**

**FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS  
OF LAW AND ORDER FOR  
PRACTICE LIMITATION**

On February 7, 2008 this matter came before the Arizona Medical Board ("Board") for oral argument and consideration of the Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") Diane Mihalsky's proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law and Recommended Order involving David I. Plumb, M.D. ("Respondent"). Respondent was notified of the Board's intent to consider this matter at the Board's public meeting. Respondent appeared with counsel, John Messing, Esq. The State was represented by Dean Brekke, Esq. Christopher Munns, Assistant Attorney General with the Solicitor General's Section of the Attorney General's Office provided independent legal advice to the Board.

The Board having considered the ALJ's recommended decision and the entire record in this matter hereby issues the following Findings of Fact, Conclusion of Law and Order.

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

**THE SUMMARY SUSPENSION**

1. Dr. Plumb was born in 1980. He obtained an undergraduate degree from the University of California at Davis in 2002 and received a medical degree from the University of Arizona School of Medicine in 2006. He has wanted to be a medical doctor since he was 15 years old. He started a residency in family medicine at the Mayo Clinic in Scottsdale in 2006. In August, 2007, Dr. Plumb was beginning the second year of his residency.

1           2.       In late August 2007, during the beginning of the second year of his residency, Dr.  
2 Plumb suffered a crisis. He did not appear for urgent care clinic rotations required for his residency  
3 at the Mayo Clinic. He did not attend a recruiting dinner in Tucson to which he had previously  
4 committed. He did not contact anyone at the Mayo Clinic and stopped taking telephone calls,  
5 including from his mother and step-father in Tucson.

6           3.       On August 29, 2007, Dr. Edwards, the program director for the urgent care clinic,  
7 and Dr. Tiffany Lunt, one of Dr. Plumb's colleagues in the Mayo Clinic residency program, whom he  
8 also considers a friend, met the police at Dr. Plumb's apartment. Dr. Plumb was in the apartment  
9 when they arrived, with music on. When Dr. Edwards knocked on the door, Dr. Plumb turned the  
10 music up. Finally, Dr. Edwards forced entry into the apartment.

11           4.       When Dr. Edwards and Dr. Lunt entered his apartment, Dr. Plumb entered the  
12 closet and got dressed. Dr. Edwards and Dr. Lunt told Dr. Plumb that they were concerned about  
13 his well-being and wanted him to go to the Mayo Clinic to be evaluated and treated by a  
14 psychiatrist. Dr. Plumb agreed and he rode in Dr. Edwards' car to the Mayo Clinic.

15           5.       On August 29, 2007, Mayo Clinic psychiatrist Kari Martin, M.D. evaluated Dr.  
16 Plumb.<sup>1</sup> Dr. Martin noted Dr. Plumb's disheveled appearance, "fixed stare during the interview and  
17 odd affect." Dr. Plumb told Dr. Martin the following:

- 18       • Dr. Plumb had been "quite distressed" about his career choice and, in  
19 February, had been consuming large amounts of alcohol and then driving,  
20 with the hope he would be arrested for DWI and unable to serve call the next  
21 day. He had been driving without fastening his seatbelt, hoping that he  
22 would be injured in an accident and unable to perform his duties as a  
23 physician.
- 24       • Dr. Plumb had been having an affair with a married woman, who was a  
25 resident in eastern Washington. Two weeks earlier she had ended the affair  
because her husband had found out about it. He felt he should be upset but  
was not.

---

<sup>1</sup> Dr. Martin's report of her evaluation was admitted as the Board's Exhibit B.

1 • Dr. Plumb also had been seeing a nursing student in Tucson. Because  
2 he wanted to "burn his bridges," he began sending her aggressive  
3 messages and, as a result, she had obtained a restraining order against  
4 him. He denied access to guns or plans of harming the nursing student, but  
5 acknowledged a history of a "violent temper." When he was writing the  
6 aggressive notes, "he felt as if a 'homunculus' inside him was telling him  
7 what to do."

8 • Dr. Plumb said that he had had his wisdom teeth extracted several days  
9 earlier and had been prescribed Lortab. He had consumed 14 tablets  
10 following the surgery, along with a quarter bottle of vodka "due to curiosity  
11 and indifferent to living." He was very surprised when he woke up the next  
12 day and concluded that he "can't be killed by conventional weapons." If he  
13 returned home, he would overdose again to try to test his limits.

14 • Dr. Plumb had been drinking excessively the past week, a bottle of wine  
15 per day. He acknowledged a history of "consuming large amounts of  
16 psychoactive substances in the past" and alluded to "cocaine and  
17 methamphetamine and opioid binges in college and high school," but denied  
18 any recent illegal drug use.

19 • Dr. Plumb reported "some intrusive sexual fantasies of a violent and non-  
20 violent nature."

21 6. Dr. Martin described Dr. Plumb as follows:

22 His affect is flat and calm as he relates these events. There is no mood  
23 lability or tearfulness. There might be a slight sense of bemusement at the  
24 sensation this has caused around him. The patient himself does not  
25 understand the concern of those around him. His reality testing is  
significantly impacted. His judgment and insight are poor. Speech is  
nonpressured.

Based on Dr. Plumb's appearance, behavior, and statements, Dr. Martin gave him the  
Axis I diagnoses of "Psychosis NOS. History of cocaine, methamphetamine, and opioid use." On  
Axis V, she estimated his level of functioning at 30.

7. Because Dr. Martin felt that Dr. Plumb was at significant risk of self-harm and he  
was unwilling to pursue voluntary psychiatric hospitalization, Dr. Martin asked that a Crisis  
Prevention Recovery ("CPR") representative begin a petition for involuntary commitment to an  
inpatient care facility.

8. Dr. Plumb's mother, step-father, and sister drove up from Tucson to see him. Dr.  
Martin reported:

This patient's family . . . arrived. We had a family meeting including Dr.  
Hovan and Beth Heinrich from Occupational Medicine. The family was very

1 distressed at the patient's change in behavior. They had a family member  
2 who happens to be a neurologist contacts Dr. Caselli regarding appropriate  
3 neurologic care. They would like a neurologic evaluation and feel there is an  
4 organic etiology to the patient's symptoms. Dr. Hovan and I tried to explain  
5 that we have been urging [Dr. Plumb] to have a medical evaluation, but he  
6 has consistently declined it. The point of petitioning would be to assert that  
7 a medical evaluation did occur and that the patient was in a safe  
8 environment, unable to act on any self-harm gestures. Patient's stepfather  
9 who has a legal background requested to speak to David to see if he could  
10 pursue voluntary neurologic evaluation. In the event that that would occur  
11 would likely mean that the patient would be evaluated in the Emergency  
12 Room. We still might need to pursue the petitioning process through CPR  
13 for placement.

14 Spoke with Nancy Cummings in the legal department. The patient's family  
15 had apparently threatened some legal action towards Mayo for holding the  
16 patient against his will. Nancy is the attorney on record.

17 I spoke with the family candidly about the medical liability as well as [Dr.  
18 Plumb's] voicing of suicidal attempts and ideations as well as my fears that  
19 he would engage in self-harm if discharged. We also spoke about the risk of  
20 him being released voluntarily only to later dismiss medical or psychiatric  
21 care at the outside of the bounds where we would have the infrastructure to  
22 initiate appropriate care. Nancy Cummings is assisting with legal matters of  
23 the law.

24 . . . .  
25  
26 ADDENDUM . . . : The patient denied all of his previous statements to the  
27 CPR representative. We, therefore, felt it a necessity to proceed with a  
28 petition for involuntary placement. The CPR representative assisted with the  
29 completion of the forms. We informed the patient of this decision as well as  
30 his family. The family was very distressed by the finding.

31 . . . .  
32  
33 At 9:45 we learned that CPR had granted the petition for involuntary  
34 placement. No physician direct contact was deemed necessary. We will  
35 have police escort the patient as per protocol.

36  
37  
38 9. A sample of Dr. Plumb's blood was taken on August 29, 2007. The sample was  
39 later determined to be negative for PCP, THC, amphetamines, methamphetamines, barbituates,  
40 cocaine, opiates, and tricyclic.<sup>2</sup>

41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
100  
101  
102  
103  
104  
105  
106  
107  
108  
109  
110  
111  
112  
113  
114  
115  
116  
117  
118  
119  
120  
121  
122  
123  
124  
125  
126  
127  
128  
129  
130  
131  
132  
133  
134  
135  
136  
137  
138  
139  
140  
141  
142  
143  
144  
145  
146  
147  
148  
149  
150  
151  
152  
153  
154  
155  
156  
157  
158  
159  
160  
161  
162  
163  
164  
165  
166  
167  
168  
169  
170  
171  
172  
173  
174  
175  
176  
177  
178  
179  
180  
181  
182  
183  
184  
185  
186  
187  
188  
189  
190  
191  
192  
193  
194  
195  
196  
197  
198  
199  
200  
201  
202  
203  
204  
205  
206  
207  
208  
209  
210  
211  
212  
213  
214  
215  
216  
217  
218  
219  
220  
221  
222  
223  
224  
225  
226  
227  
228  
229  
230  
231  
232  
233  
234  
235  
236  
237  
238  
239  
240  
241  
242  
243  
244  
245  
246  
247  
248  
249  
250  
251  
252  
253  
254  
255  
256  
257  
258  
259  
260  
261  
262  
263  
264  
265  
266  
267  
268  
269  
270  
271  
272  
273  
274  
275  
276  
277  
278  
279  
280  
281  
282  
283  
284  
285  
286  
287  
288  
289  
290  
291  
292  
293  
294  
295  
296  
297  
298  
299  
300  
301  
302  
303  
304  
305  
306  
307  
308  
309  
310  
311  
312  
313  
314  
315  
316  
317  
318  
319  
320  
321  
322  
323  
324  
325  
326  
327  
328  
329  
330  
331  
332  
333  
334  
335  
336  
337  
338  
339  
340  
341  
342  
343  
344  
345  
346  
347  
348  
349  
350  
351  
352  
353  
354  
355  
356  
357  
358  
359  
360  
361  
362  
363  
364  
365  
366  
367  
368  
369  
370  
371  
372  
373  
374  
375  
376  
377  
378  
379  
380  
381  
382  
383  
384  
385  
386  
387  
388  
389  
390  
391  
392  
393  
394  
395  
396  
397  
398  
399  
400  
401  
402  
403  
404  
405  
406  
407  
408  
409  
410  
411  
412  
413  
414  
415  
416  
417  
418  
419  
420  
421  
422  
423  
424  
425  
426  
427  
428  
429  
430  
431  
432  
433  
434  
435  
436  
437  
438  
439  
440  
441  
442  
443  
444  
445  
446  
447  
448  
449  
450  
451  
452  
453  
454  
455  
456  
457  
458  
459  
460  
461  
462  
463  
464  
465  
466  
467  
468  
469  
470  
471  
472  
473  
474  
475  
476  
477  
478  
479  
480  
481  
482  
483  
484  
485  
486  
487  
488  
489  
490  
491  
492  
493  
494  
495  
496  
497  
498  
499  
500  
501  
502  
503  
504  
505  
506  
507  
508  
509  
510  
511  
512  
513  
514  
515  
516  
517  
518  
519  
520  
521  
522  
523  
524  
525  
526  
527  
528  
529  
530  
531  
532  
533  
534  
535  
536  
537  
538  
539  
540  
541  
542  
543  
544  
545  
546  
547  
548  
549  
550  
551  
552  
553  
554  
555  
556  
557  
558  
559  
560  
561  
562  
563  
564  
565  
566  
567  
568  
569  
570  
571  
572  
573  
574  
575  
576  
577  
578  
579  
580  
581  
582  
583  
584  
585  
586  
587  
588  
589  
590  
591  
592  
593  
594  
595  
596  
597  
598  
599  
600  
601  
602  
603  
604  
605  
606  
607  
608  
609  
610  
611  
612  
613  
614  
615  
616  
617  
618  
619  
620  
621  
622  
623  
624  
625  
626  
627  
628  
629  
630  
631  
632  
633  
634  
635  
636  
637  
638  
639  
640  
641  
642  
643  
644  
645  
646  
647  
648  
649  
650  
651  
652  
653  
654  
655  
656  
657  
658  
659  
660  
661  
662  
663  
664  
665  
666  
667  
668  
669  
670  
671  
672  
673  
674  
675  
676  
677  
678  
679  
680  
681  
682  
683  
684  
685  
686  
687  
688  
689  
690  
691  
692  
693  
694  
695  
696  
697  
698  
699  
700  
701  
702  
703  
704  
705  
706  
707  
708  
709  
710  
711  
712  
713  
714  
715  
716  
717  
718  
719  
720  
721  
722  
723  
724  
725  
726  
727  
728  
729  
730  
731  
732  
733  
734  
735  
736  
737  
738  
739  
740  
741  
742  
743  
744  
745  
746  
747  
748  
749  
750  
751  
752  
753  
754  
755  
756  
757  
758  
759  
760  
761  
762  
763  
764  
765  
766  
767  
768  
769  
770  
771  
772  
773  
774  
775  
776  
777  
778  
779  
780  
781  
782  
783  
784  
785  
786  
787  
788  
789  
790  
791  
792  
793  
794  
795  
796  
797  
798  
799  
800  
801  
802  
803  
804  
805  
806  
807  
808  
809  
810  
811  
812  
813  
814  
815  
816  
817  
818  
819  
820  
821  
822  
823  
824  
825  
826  
827  
828  
829  
830  
831  
832  
833  
834  
835  
836  
837  
838  
839  
840  
841  
842  
843  
844  
845  
846  
847  
848  
849  
850  
851  
852  
853  
854  
855  
856  
857  
858  
859  
860  
861  
862  
863  
864  
865  
866  
867  
868  
869  
870  
871  
872  
873  
874  
875  
876  
877  
878  
879  
880  
881  
882  
883  
884  
885  
886  
887  
888  
889  
890  
891  
892  
893  
894  
895  
896  
897  
898  
899  
900  
901  
902  
903  
904  
905  
906  
907  
908  
909  
910  
911  
912  
913  
914  
915  
916  
917  
918  
919  
920  
921  
922  
923  
924  
925  
926  
927  
928  
929  
930  
931  
932  
933  
934  
935  
936  
937  
938  
939  
940  
941  
942  
943  
944  
945  
946  
947  
948  
949  
950  
951  
952  
953  
954  
955  
956  
957  
958  
959  
960  
961  
962  
963  
964  
965  
966  
967  
968  
969  
970  
971  
972  
973  
974  
975  
976  
977  
978  
979  
980  
981  
982  
983  
984  
985  
986  
987  
988  
989  
990  
991  
992  
993  
994  
995  
996  
997  
998  
999  
1000

---

<sup>2</sup> See Dr. Plumb's Ex. 5.

1           10.       On August 30, 2007, Dr. Plumb was transported to Desert Vista Behavioral  
2 Health Center ("Desert Vista"), which is part of the Maricopa Integrated Health System for court-  
3 ordered evaluation. After admission to Desert Vista, Dr. Plumb was prescribed and began taking  
4 Geodon, an antipsychotic medication.

5           11.       On September 6, 2007, while Dr. Plumb was in Desert Vista, the Board issued  
6 License No. 37523 for the practice of allopathic medicine in Arizona to him. Before that, he had  
7 been working at Mayo Clinic under a residency permit.

8           12.       While Dr. Plumb was in Desert Vista, the Board received a communication from  
9 Mayo Clinic, which expressed concern about his ability to practice medicine safely. The Board  
10 opened an investigation.

11           13.       On September 7, 2007, psychologist Nancy Van Der Veer, Psy.D. evaluated Dr.  
12 Plumb at Desert Vista.<sup>3</sup> Dr. Van Der Veer noted that Michael Brennan, MD, the Medical Director of  
13 Desert Vista, had requested the evaluation. Dr. Van Der Veer also noted Dr. Martin's report and  
14 Dr. Plumb's admission to Desert Vista, as follows:

15           Upon admission to Desert Vista, Dr. Plumb presented with euthymic mood  
16 and appropriate affect. His thought processes were logical, but he  
17 expressed delusional thoughts. Current diagnoses include Psychotic  
18 Disorder Not Otherwise Specified; Mood Disorder Not Otherwise Specified;  
Rule Out Bipolar Disorder, Most Recent Episode Manic Severe With  
Psychotic Features; and Rule Out Personality Disorder Not Otherwise  
Specified.

19           **Review of Recent Behavior:** Progress Notes indicate and nursing staff  
20 report that Dr. Plumb has been pleasant and cooperative with staff and  
21 social with peers. At times they report that his affect is anxious and he is  
22 verbose with loose thoughts. Staff has observed him smiling and laughing  
to himself and he appeared to be responding to internal stimuli. On unit, he  
often appears guarded and preoccupied, and holds himself in a stiff posture.

23           **Behavioral Observations:** . . . Dr. Plumb is a 26-year-old, Caucasian man  
24 who appears his stated age. He presented as well groomed and dressed in  
his own clothes. He was very cooperative and polite, and appeared to put  
forth good effort on the tests. Dr. Plumb was wearing his own glasses

---

25           <sup>3</sup> See the Board's Ex. C.

1 throughout the assessment. His hearing and vision appeared intact for the  
2 purpose of this assessment. He maintained good eye contact with this  
3 writer. He described his mood as "unhappy, more blah than depressed" and  
4 rated his level of depression at a 4 or 5 on a scale of 1 to 10, with 1 being no  
5 depression and 10 being the most depressed. His affect was mildly blunted,  
6 but appropriate to content. His attention, concentration, and memory were  
7 intact and without noticeable impairment. His speech rate, rhythm, and  
8 volume were within normal limits. His thought processes were goal oriented  
9 and logical. He explained his behaviors as being the result of an intentional  
10 exaggeration in order to obtain a leave from his program. There was no  
11 evidence of behaviors related to psychosis during the interview and  
12 assessment. Dr. Plumb appears to have some insight as evidenced by his  
13 report that he has been unhappy with his residency and stressed by the lack  
14 of sleep and increased responsibilities of the program. Furthermore, he  
15 reported that last year, a break-up with his girlfriend was very stressful. He  
16 has not developed close friendships during his residency program and  
17 misses the support of family and friends.

18 14. Dr. Van Der Veer administered the Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory-2  
19 ("MMPI-2) and the Rorschach Inkblot Test to Dr. Plumb.

20 15. According to Dr. Van Der Veer, the MMPI-2 showed no neurotic or psychotic  
21 symptoms, but indicated that Dr. Plumb was "immature and impulsive," "a risk-taker who may do  
22 things others do not approve of just for the personal enjoyment of doing so." He was likely to be  
23 viewed by others as rebellious and occasionally to show bad judgment. He was "likely to be  
24 suspicious of the actions of others, and may tend to blame them for his negative frame of mind."

25 16. According to Dr. Van Der Veer, the Rorschach Inkblot Test showed that Dr.  
Plumb appeared "more strongly committed than most people to being agreeable and establishing  
harmonious relationships when he does interact with others," but that he "may have a limited  
capacity to form close attachments to other people."

17 17. According to Dr. Van Der Veer, Dr. Plumb was "highly motivated to grasp  
18 complex concepts and to attempt ambitious undertakings." However, he tended to "take in more  
19 information than he [could] organize efficiently and to examine situations more thoroughly than  
20 serves any reasonable purpose." As a consequence, Dr. Plumb "may be hesitant in making

1 decisions, uncertain about whatever decisions he does make, and easily persuaded to defer and  
2 delay, rather than come to closure . . . .”

3 18. Dr. Van Der Veer concluded that Dr. Plumb was “most likely not currently  
4 experiencing a depressive, manic, or psychotic episode. Rather, the test results suggest that  
5 current situational stress and personality characteristics most likely account for his recent  
6 inappropriate and maladaptive behaviors. . . .” [Emphasis in original.]

7 19. On September 12, 2007, the Honorable Benjamin Vatz heard Mayo Clinic's  
8 petition that Dr. Plumb be ordered to submit to treatment. Dr. Plumb's step-father, Mr. Messing, is  
9 an attorney and represented him at the hearing. Commissioner Vatz dismissed the petition,  
10 explaining as follows:

11 I think we can all agree that there was a period of time that Dr. Plumb went  
12 through a serious break. He was in crisis and the question is whether that  
13 was a result of a mental disorder or not.

14 If I make the finding that it was a mental disorder, I still have to make the  
15 finding that Dr. Plumb was willing or able to accept voluntary treatment.

16 And the evidence I have heard today is that, from Day one, he was a model  
17 patient. He's acknowledged that his barriers prior to hospitalization were  
18 due to an illness.

19 He's promised this Court that he will seek treatment. And while I, certainly,  
20 appreciate Dr. Martin's concerns for want to involuntary hospital Dr. Plumb, I  
21 think having had a chance to confer with family members and to make a  
22 family decision, that Dr. Plumb would have been ready to make.

23 That he was not given the true opportunity to accept voluntary treatment.  
24 Based upon that finding, it is ordered dismissing the petition.<sup>4</sup>

25 20. On September 12, 2007, Dr. Plumb was discharged from Desert Vista. He  
26 returned to his family's home in Tucson and began treatment under psychiatrist James Van Doren,  
27 M.D. Dr. Van Doren eventually diagnosed Dr. Plumb as follows:

28 309.29 Adjustment D/O with disturbance of mood and conduct  
29 R/O Brief Psychotic Disorder – Resolved

---

30 <sup>4</sup> Dr. Plumb's Ex. 42.

1 R/O Bipolar D/O NOS  
2 R/O Antisocial Personality<sup>5</sup>

3 21. After his release from Desert Vista, Dr. Plumb refrained from working or practicing  
4 medicine. On August 29, 2007, he began receiving short-term disability from Mayo Clinic.

5 22. On or about September 26, 2007, Dr. Plumb's attorney Mr. Messing suffered a  
6 debilitating back injury and was forced to complete bed rest. His personal physician prescribed  
7 muscle relaxants, which caused dizziness and confusion.

8 23. On or about September 27, 2007, the Board's Case Manager Kathleen Muller  
9 contacted Mr. Messing to schedule a Board interview of Dr. Plumb on October 1, 2007. Mr.  
10 Messing objected to the scheduled interview in light of his own inability to travel to Phoenix, due to  
11 his back injury, and Dr. Plumb's disability leave and stated intent to return to residency program  
12 only after being released by Dr. Van Doren. Ms. Muller still insisted that Dr. Plumb travel to  
13 Phoenix to be interviewed by Board staff.

14 24. On October 1, 2007, Dr. Plumb met with Board staff and objected to being  
15 interviewed outside the presence of his attorney Mr. Messing. After consulting with the Board's  
16 executive director, Ms. Muller agreed to delay the interview but ordered that Dr. Plumb provide  
17 bodily fluid and hair samples. Dr. Plumb complied.

18 25. On October 1, 2007, the Board also provided to Dr. Plumb an unsigned Interim  
19 Consent Agreement for Practice Limitation (Non-Disciplinary), under which any admission by Dr.  
20 Plumb would be solely for the disposition of the pending complaint. There was no proposed finding  
21 of unprofessional conduct against Dr. Plumb but only an admission that "his medical condition limits  
22 his ability to safely engage in the practice of medicine."<sup>6</sup> As a result of his condition, Dr. Plumb in  
23 the proposed agreement would "not practice clinical medicine or any medicine involving direct  
24

25 <sup>5</sup> Dr. Plumb's Ex. 24 (Dr. Van Doren's 10/3/2007 treatment note, which apparently was the second  
time he treated Dr. Plumb).

<sup>6</sup> See Dr. Plumb's Ex. 1, Bates Number 000045.



1 patient care" and would not prescribe any medications until further order by the Board. Ms. Muller  
2 also sent a copy of the Consent Agreement to Mr. Messing by e-mail at approximately 3:00 p.m. on  
3 October 1, 2007.

4 26. Ms. Muller informed Dr. Plumb that he would have to accept the consent decree  
5 by 10:00 a.m. on the following day, October 2, 2007.

6 27. On October 1, 2006, the Board received the results of Dr. Plumb's bodily fluid  
7 analysis, which were negative for alcohol, amphetamines, cannabinoids, opiates, and cocaine  
8 metabolite.<sup>7</sup>

9 28. Ms. Muller also informed Dr. Plumb that he would have to submit to an interview  
10 by Board staff on October 3, 2007. Although Mr. Messing and Dr. Plumb again objected, because  
11 Mr. Messing had not recovered sufficiently to travel to Phoenix, Ms. Muller insisted that Dr. Plumb  
12 appear for an interview.

13 29. On October 2, 2007, Mr. Messing's back was treated by his chiropractor.  
14 Although Mr. Messing's condition was improved by the treatment, he was still restricted from travel.  
15 Mr. Messing's chiropractor felt he would be able to travel by October 8, 2007. Mr. Messing  
16 conveyed this information to Ms. Muller.

17 30. On October 3, 2007, Dr. Plumb appeared for his interview and again objected to  
18 being interviewed without his attorney. Nonetheless, the interview by Ms. Muller and Medical  
19 Consultant Kathleen Coffey, M.D. proceeded. Although no complete transcript of the interview was  
20 admitted into evidence, according to Ms. Muller's hearing testimony,<sup>8</sup> Dr. Plumb again denied the  
21 statements he had made to Dr. Martin at Mayo Clinic. He admitted to using methamphetamine 3 or  
22  
23  
24

25 <sup>7</sup> See Dr. Plumb's Ex. 2.

<sup>8</sup> See Dr. Plumb's Ex. 8, which was page "1 of 24" and page "22 of 24," the last page, of the  
interview. The tape recording from which the transcript was prepared was not preserved.

1 4 years ago and becoming intoxicated at a wedding he had attended in May 2007. He informed  
2 Board staff that he had discontinued the Geodon, on the advice of Dr. Van Doren.<sup>9</sup>

3 31. Following the interview, the Board's executive director issued an interim Board  
4 order that required Dr. Plumb to submit to a psychiatric and substance abuse evaluation by  
5 psychiatrist Mark L. Rubin, M.D. on October 6, 2007, a Saturday.

6 32. On October 3 or 4, 2007, the Board received the results of the Hair 5 Drug Panel  
7 & Extended Opiates test of the hair sample that Dr. Plumb had provided on October 1, 2007, which  
8 was negative for amphetamines, cocaine/metabolites, opiates, extended opiates, phencyclidine,  
9 and THC metabolite.<sup>10</sup> The test results noted that it had been conducted on "Body hair  
10 (approximately 12 month time frame)."

11 33. On October 5, 2007, Mr. Messing on behalf of Dr. Plumb filed a 10-page Notice of  
12 Appeal under A.A.C. R4-16-510, challenging the Executive Director's insistence that Dr. Plumb be  
13 interviewed, despite his attorney's inability to attend the interview, and the fact that the Board had  
14 not sufficiently considered the effect of the oral surgery to remove four impacted wisdom teeth,  
15 which had "triggered an unusual behavior pattern," on Dr. Plumb's behavior on August 29, 2007.<sup>11</sup>

16 34. Mr. Messing requested that he be afforded an opportunity to address the Board at  
17 its next regularly scheduled meeting on October 10, 2007. Mr. Messing explained that he was  
18 obligated to appear before the Industrial Commission on October 11, 2007 for another client, which  
19 would prevent him from appearing before the Board on that date.

20 35. Ms. Muller acknowledged receipt of the appeal, informed Mr. Messing that no  
21 appeal would be permitted and that Dr. Plumb's failure to attend the appointment with Dr. Rubin  
22 would be considered by the Board to be unprofessional conduct.

23  
24 <sup>9</sup> See Dr. Plumb's Ex. 24 (Dr. Van Doren's treatment note of 10/3/2007, which noted "D/C Geodon.  
25 No apparent need for it. Will observe mood and TP/TC closely.").

<sup>10</sup> See Dr. Plumb's Ex. 3.

<sup>11</sup> See Dr. Plumb's Exhibit 1 at 000015 to 000023.

1           36.       On October 6, 2007, Dr. Plumb appeared at Dr. Rubin's office with a \$1,500  
2 certified check to pay for the evaluation.

3           37.       Dr. Rubin's report summarized some of the records he had reviewed and Dr.  
4 Plumb's explanation of those records as follows:

5           Dr. Plumb appeared psychotic to multiple observers. He made delusional  
6 statements, referred to suicidal thoughts and attempts, acknowledged  
7 significant substance abuse mixed with narcotic medication prescribed for  
8 wisdom teeth pain with intent to die, and further acknowledged violent  
9 imagery, including threatening letters he had written to a former girlfriend.  
10 He was observed in the hospital in a psychotic state with inappropriate  
11 affect, laughing to himself, a fixed stare, and appearing dissociated from his  
12 surroundings. At the Medical Board meeting he continued to show impaired  
13 thinking and reasoning. He indicated that he made up the psychotic  
14 ideation, the suicidal ideation, and the alcohol abuse. He stated he made up  
15 the fact that he was either threatened with, or served with, a restraining  
16 order in regards to his former girlfriend. His explanation for making all of this  
17 up seemed to rely on the stresses he felt he was under at the time. These  
18 included wisdom teeth extraction, moderate-dose pain medication,  
19 psychosocial stressors from former relationships, and the stress of the  
20 residency. Regarding the latter, he had failed to show up for work on his  
21 rotation for a significant period of days prior to the psychiatric evaluation,  
22 which led to his hospital admission. He has little or no explanation of this,  
23 except that he was "thinking of quitting." He now believes his rights were  
24 violated and essentially nothing is wrong with him except the stresses he  
25 was under.<sup>12</sup>

38.       Dr. Rubin summarized his examination of Dr. Plumb as follows:  
[Dr. Plumb] was clear that he thought the psychiatric evaluation by Dr.  
Martin was confidential. He asserted that he had made up and exaggerated  
information in order to explain his absence from the residency. He did not  
seem to understand that the explanation itself was illogical and clearly  
unsatisfactory for the purpose of demonstrating that he is safe to practice  
licensed medicine in Arizona.

He discussed with me that he had wanted to quit his residency and was  
disaffected with how medicine is practiced today. He stated he does not like  
to see many patients in a row in a "meat-grinder fashion" and wanted to  
have better relationships with patients. He stated that once he stopped  
showing up for his rotations, he was afraid to call in and admit that he was  
sick and he stated that once he began "going down the road" of lying about  
it, it was hard to back up and correct for him. He does not think he was

---

<sup>12</sup> The Board's Ex. 1.

1 psychotic and certainly does not believe he is actively or residually psychotic  
2 at the present time.

3 He brought a copy of a progress report by Dr. Van Doren from 10/3/07, and  
4 when we reviewed the content he did not disagree with it. Dr. Van Doren  
5 noted Dr. Plumb's "behavior and self-admitted pattern of playing mind  
6 games with others suggest a lack of empathy at times. Perhaps a schism in  
7 his personality." He did not agree with the assessment by Dr. Van Doren  
8 stating, "Rule out Brief Psychotic disorder – resolved, Rule out Bipolar  
9 disorder NOS, and Rule out Antisocial personality." Dr. Van Doren did write,  
10 "discontinue Geodon – no apparent need for it. Will observe mood and  
11 TP/TC (which I take to be thought processes/thought content) closely." Dr.  
12 Plumb did not disagree with the antisocial personality diagnosis and did  
13 state that he agreed with the comment of "perhaps a schism in his  
14 personality". This was explained further.

15 He stated that he wanted to be a physician since age 15, but he also wanted  
16 to be a writer, and I brought up several physicians who are writers. He  
17 thought their writing was inferior and referred to W. Somerset Maugham, a  
18 physician writer, as his ideal. He mentioned under his breath the name of a  
19 novel of human bondage, which he admired and thinks is one of the best  
20 books. Of interest, this is a story of a young, orphaned medical student in  
21 London who becomes sexually obsessed with an ambiguous male/female  
22 figure, which essentially ends his career. Dr. Plumb noted that he wrote his  
23 own novel in college, but found it "inferior and not worthy" and did not try to  
24 publish it.

25 I asked him about the delusional statement he had made to Dr. Martin, that  
there was a "homunculus" controlling him in his mind. I noted that  
homunculus is an anatomical term regarding the control of the motor strip of  
the brain, an imaginary "little man" representing body parts. He denied the  
connection and stated that he took it from another writer, Liebnitz, and stated  
that he liked to read a lot, and agreed that he liked making literary allusions  
and had done so during his prior psychiatric interviews. But he stayed with  
his story that he "made the whole thing up" and was now ready to go back  
and practice medicine.

He discussed briefly the estranged relationship with the former nursing  
student and did acknowledge that he may have made some inappropriate  
comments to her, though he would not reveal their nature. He stated he was  
not served with a restraining order, but with questioning, he acknowledged  
that she may have "threatened something like that", but would take it no  
further. He focused more on the relationship with a former married resident  
he had a year before, and his current guilty and feelings of responsibility in  
regards her recent phone calls, that she was getting a divorce, her husband  
knew about the affair, and he felt guilty about her children and wouldn't want  
to put them through "anything like that". I note that in his discussion of the  
resident's impending divorce, he seemed to narcissistically think the fault  
was all his and focused on the children whom he does not know. I asked if  
he had thought about these consequences when he had the affair with a  
married woman. He seemed uncomfortable with the question and had no

1 answer. I asked intensively and repeatedly if he thought about the  
2 consequences of not showing up for work during his residency and  
3 compounding it with fabricated stories and what he now refers to as lies,  
4 including suicidal ideation, threats to others, significant substance abuse,  
5 and delusional psychotic statements. He could not discuss this, and  
6 maintained an estranged affect and constructed mannerism throughout the  
7 interview. I felt there may be tears held back at one point, but it was in  
8 regards to his future, not the current events nor the past.

9 39. With regards to "family psychiatric history," Dr. Rubin recounted that "Dr. Plumb's  
10 father died of alleged carbon monoxide poisoning in a garage, which is explained by a car he was  
11 working on falling on him and then his body hitting a garage door opener button with the car  
12 running, and subsequent death." Dr. Rubin considered the circumstances of Dr. Plumb's father's  
13 death to be suspicious and to indicate "a possible parental suicide." This possible familial history of  
14 suicide was especially troubling in light of Dr. Plumb's "documented suicidal statements and  
15 expressed intent to several evaluators before and during his hospitalization."

16 40. Dr. Rubin noted that Dr. Plumb had received some psychiatric treatment when he  
17 was nine years old, which Dr. Plumb explained was due to apparently accidental death of his father  
18 when he was five years old. Dr. Rubin noted that Dr. Plumb's "psychiatric treatment at age eight or  
19 nine for anger outbursts and acting out suggests childhood onset impulse control (or conduct  
20 disorder, which is a precursor to antisocial personality traits in adults) . . . ."

21 41. For the Objective Mental Status Appearance, Dr. Rubin noted that "Dr. Plumb  
22 came to the interview in jeans and a shirt worn outside his pants. He appeared disheveled for the  
23 circumstances. . . ."

24 42. Dr. Rubin also noted that there was evidence to suggest that Dr. Plumb had a  
25 substance abuse history. "Even past use of methamphetamine, cocaine, and marijuana suggest  
26 vulnerability to possible future or ongoing substance abuse problems, especially when under  
27 stress."

28 43. Dr. Rubin characterized Dr. Plumb's insight and judgment as "particularly troubled  
29 areas." Dr. Plumb appeared genuinely not to know why had failed to attend his rotation, without

1 informing anyone, and then told Dr. Martin fabrications, "which may have been accurate at the time  
2 but changed later when he became more aware of the consequences."

3 44. Dr. Rubin gave the following Axis I and Axis II diagnoses to Dr. Plumb:

4       AXIS I     298.9 Psychotic Disorder NOS – in partial resolution but  
5                   with ongoing residual symptoms  
6                   304.80 Polysubstance Abuse History

7       AXIS II     301.83 Borderline Personality with Narcissistic and Anti-  
8                   social Traits

9       AXIS V     45 Some impairment in reality testing or communication  
10                  and impairment in several areas including work, judgment,  
11                  thinking, and mood.

12 45. Based on these diagnoses and other evidence, Dr. Rubin rendered the following  
13 opinion on Dr. Plumb's current ability to practice allopathic medicine safely:

14       This evaluation indicates that Dr. Plumb is not ready or able to independently  
15       work as a licensed physician at this time. He understands he should not  
16       prescribe medications for anyone including himself, and he should not treat  
17       patients or work as a licensed physician in any capacity at the present time.  
18       He is hopeful to be readmitted to his residency program in November but  
19       understands he must be released for work by his current treating  
20       psychiatrist, Dr. Van Doren, and he believes, an independent psychiatric  
21       evaluation as well. He understands he may be let go from the residency if  
22       these and possibly other conditions are not met. However, he does not  
23       have insight or understanding into the nature of his disorder or illness, he  
24       does not acknowledge significant substance abuse history or problems,  
25       though they are documented and appear to be present and he has no logical  
      or rational explanation for the delusional, suicidal and illogical statements he  
      made prior to his hospitalization and again during the hospitalization. It is  
      common in a residual psychotic state to lack insight or understanding of  
      what has happened and to even deny the known facts for awhile, often up to  
      a year after the psychotic episode.

      His explanation of exaggerating or embellishing his story to help stay out of  
      work certainly do not make him fit for practice as a physician. His own  
      statements would basically suggest that he may have had a substance-  
      induced delirium caused by medication he was taking from pain secondary  
      to wisdom teeth extraction, but this does not begin to fully explain his altered  
      state of mind or presentation to several evaluators over an extended period  
      of time both before and during the hospitalization. He likely had a brief  
      psychotic episode in the context of a busy physician-practitioner schedule. It  
      appears Dr. Plumb cannot independently practice medicine at this time. At a  
      minimum, he needs to successfully complete the current residency or  
      another one, stay in psychotherapy and let time pass to convince himself  
      and others that he will not have a return of illness, any further substance

1 abuse, and no further episodes of threats of harm to himself or others. This  
2 would be minimally a year in my opinion, with ongoing treatment reports and  
3 substance abuse monitoring. Alternatively, he could perhaps resign his  
4 license to practice and reapply once he has successfully completed a  
5 residency program.

6 46. At approximately 3:00 p.m. on October 11, 2007, the Board faxed a second  
7 Consent Agreement, which was in all relevant respects identical to the agreement described at  
8 Finding of Fact No. 25. Along with the second Consent Agreement, the Executive Director of the  
9 Board issued an Interim Order for Residential Evaluation/ Treatment, which noted that the results of  
10 Dr. Rubin's evaluation suggested possible "substance abuse history and problems." The order  
11 required that Dr. Plumb "undergo and successfully complete a residential evaluation at a Board-  
12 approved facility," at his own expense.<sup>13</sup>

13 47. The Board's Executive Director required that Dr. Plumb accept the consent  
14 agreement by 4:00 p.m. on October 11, 2007, or the matter would be decided at an emergency  
15 Board meeting scheduled for 4:30 p.m. on October 11, 2007.

16 48. Between 3:00 p.m. and 4:30 p.m., Dr. Plumb was driving back from Phoenix. Mr.  
17 Messing was in a hearing before the Arizona Industrial Commission. Neither Mr. Messing nor Dr.  
18 Plumb was available to read the faxed Interim Order or Consent Agreement by the Board's  
19 deadline.

20 49. On October 11, 2007, the Board met and, after reviewing the matter and  
21 deliberating, issued an Interim Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order for Summary  
22 Suspension of License against Dr. Plumb's License No. 37523. The Board concluded that "the  
23 public health, safety or welfare imperatively requires emergency action" under A.R.S. § 32-1451(D)  
24 and, therefore, summarily suspended Dr. Plumb's license, "pending a formal hearing before an  
25

---

<sup>13</sup> See Dr. Plumb's Exhibit 1 at 000078 to 000079.

1 Administrative Law Judge from the Office of Administrative Hearings," which was to be commenced  
2 "as expeditiously as possible."

3 50. On October 15, 2007, Mr. Messing on behalf of Dr. Plumb filed a second notice of  
4 claim against the Board, to which was appended over 100 pages of Bates-stamped documents.

5 51. After the matter was referred to the Office of Administrative Hearings, Mr.  
6 Messing requested that the Notice of Claim be consolidated with the Summary Suspension. The  
7 Administrative Law Judge denied the motion because the legislature had not authorized her to hear  
8 common-law or civil claims.

9 52. A hearing was held on December 4 and 5, 2007. The Board had admitted into  
10 evidence three exhibits and presented Ms. Muller's and Dr. Rubin's testimony. Dr. Plumb testified  
11 on his own behalf, presented the testimony of his mother, Harriet Plumb Messing, and had  
12 admitted into evidence 41 exhibits, including the October 15, 2007 Notice of Claim and its  
13 attachments as Dr. Plumb's Ex. 1.

14 **ADDITIONAL HEARING EVIDENCE**

15 **The August 22, 2007 Oral Surgery**

16 53. On August 22, 2007, oral surgeon Robert F. Guyette, M.D., D.M.D. extracted all  
17 four of Dr. Plumb's wisdom teeth.<sup>14</sup> Dr. Guyette noted:

18 This patient is a 26-year-old gentleman who presents for evaluation and  
19 removal of wisdom teeth. He has been on antibiotics (amoxicillin 500 mg  
20 t.i.d.) for the last seven days. He still has some soreness around the lower  
21 right wisdom tooth area.

22 . . . .

23 EXAMINATION: HEAD AND NECK: Unremarkable. INTRAORAL  
24 EXAMINATION: Class I occlusion. Good hygiene. There is soft tissue  
25 pericoronitis in the 17 and 32 areas. Numbers 1 and 16 are also  
malpositioned.

26 . . . .

---

<sup>14</sup> See Dr. Plumb's Ex. 36.



1 IMPRESSION: Four malpositioned and impacted third molar teeth with  
2 pericoronitis and localized infection.

3 RECOMMENDATIONS: Removal of four wisdom teeth under IV sedation  
4 and local anesthesia.

5 MEDICATIONS: He was given a prescription for Lortab 7.5 #20.

6 After the surgery, Dr. Guyette monitored Dr. Plumb for 40 minutes before  
7 discharging him.

8 **Dr. Rubin's Testimony**

9 54. Dr. Rubin is not employed by the Board but occasionally accepts referrals from  
10 the Board for evaluations, for which the evaluatee pays.

11 55. Dr. Rubin obtained his undergraduate degree from Harvard in 1973 and obtained  
12 his medical degree from Columbia in 1977 and licensed shortly thereafter. Dr. Rubin was licensed  
13 in Arizona in 1989, but did not move to Arizona until 1995.

14 56. Dr. Rubin is board-certified in adult and child psychiatry. He is not a board-  
15 certified forensic psychiatrist.

16 57. Dr. Rubin characterized his evaluation of Dr. Plumb as a forensic evaluation,  
17 which means he must set aside any bias toward seeing the evaluatee as a patient. He told Dr.  
18 Plumb that there was not any patient-psychiatrist relationship. Instead, by analogy, Dr. Rubin  
19 considered his patient to be the public safety. Dr. Rubin follows the American Association of  
20 Psychiatry's guidelines for forensic psychiatric evaluations.

21 58. Dr. Rubin testified that he reviewed the medical records from Mayo Clinic and  
22 Desert Vista. He asked Dr. Plumb open-ended questions about the areas of concern and then  
23 asked follow-up questions for clarification. Dr. Rubin's interview of Dr. Plumb lasted about 1½  
24 hours, after which he started dictating his report.

25 59. Dr. Rubin testified that he knows Dr. Brennan is a first-rate psychiatrist. Dr.  
Brennan requested Dr. Van Der Veer's evaluation, which indicated concern about Dr. Plumb's  
status.

1           60.       Dr. Rubin testified that the MMPI-2 test is mostly a "checklist." The Rorschach  
2 test is much less under the control of the evaluatee. Dr. Rubin testified that Dr. Plumb's explanation  
3 that he had lied to Dr. Martin to get off his residency rotation raised concerns of malingering, which  
4 may be fraud.

5           61.       Dr. Rubin testified that his evaluation of Dr. Plumb was primarily psychiatric and  
6 secondarily to explore possible substance abuse. Dr. Rubin testified that Dr. Plumb had worn  
7 boots, worn jeans, and a white shirt with the sleeves rolled up to the evaluation and had had  
8 "rumpled hair." He did not appear well.

9           62.       Dr. Rubin expected more responses from Dr. Plumb, but he spent a good deal of  
10 time repeating what he had said in earlier interviews, as if by rote. Dr. Rubin was looking for  
11 motivational clues that would indicate that Dr. Plumb had been upset by the turn of events and that  
12 he was motivated to change, to open up and tell his side of the story. But Dr. Plumb only repeated  
13 what he had said to earlier interviewers.

14           63.       Dr. Rubin testified that he did not know Dr. Van Doren. But Dr. Van Doren  
15 seemed to be trying to communicate his own concerns by noting that Dr. Plumb was unable to  
16 explain his past behavior and admitted to playing "mind games" and lacking empathy.

17           64.       Dr. Rubin testified that the only time Dr. Plumb showed an emotional response  
18 was when Dr. Rubin wondered whether Dr. Plumb's father's death may have been a suicide.

19           65.       With respect to Dr. Plumb's history of possible substance abuse, Dr. Rubin  
20 testified that Dr. Plumb kept deflecting and deferring questions, insisting that he had "lied to the  
21 other doctor." When Dr. Rubin asked Dr. Plumb how lying compared to the high expectations that  
22 society has of physicians, Dr. Plumb could not respond.

23           66.       Dr. Rubin testified that he asked Dr. Plumb what he would do if he lost his  
24 residency at Mayo Clinic. Dr. Plumb had responded, "moonlighting," which was a concern because  
25 he would be unsupervised.

1           67.       Dr. Rubin testified that he had to diagnose Dr. Plumb with an Axis II personality  
2 disorder, based on the history provided and the position he took with Dr. Rubin. People with  
3 borderline personality disorder decompensate under stress and may go into a psychotic episode.  
4 The disorder is often associated with substance abuse.

5           68.       Dr. Rubin testified that people usually are between 30 and 40 on the Axis V score  
6 when they are admitted to a psychiatric facility. When they are discharged, they are usually  
7 between 40 and 50. He felt that Dr. Plumb was right in the middle, at 45.

8           69.       Dr. Rubin recommended that Dr. Plumb undergo substance abuse monitoring for  
9 at least two years, with reports to the Board, although Dr. Plumb did not believe that a residential  
10 inpatient evaluation or treatment program was warranted at this time.

11          70.       Dr. Rubin opined that Dr. Plumb will not be fit to practice independently for some  
12 time. He needs to complete his current or another residency. He also needs to continue  
13 psychiatric treatment, with quarterly reports to the Board. Dr. Rubin feels that Dr. Plumb will not be  
14 fit to return to an independent practice for at least a year after the August 29, 2007 incident. After a  
15 year, Dr. Plumb may resume an independent practice if his treating psychiatrist releases him to  
16 return to an independent medical practice and a forensic evaluation by a Board-approved  
17 psychiatrist confirms his fitness.

18          71.       Dr. Rubin testified that, with respect to the residency, he believes that Dr. Plumb  
19 may be fit to return to a residency program and practice under the supervision of other physicians  
20 six months after the August 29, 2007 incident. But it would be up to the residency program to  
21 determine the terms under which Dr. Plumb could practice. Some residency programs are about to  
22 absorb disabled physicians, for example, by using them in a research capacity.

23          72.       Dr. Rubin testified on cross-examination that he had considered the effect of Dr.  
24 Plumb's wisdom teeth extraction on August 24, 2007, but felt it was not the cause of Dr. Plumb's  
25 symptoms and behavior on August 29, 2007. Dr. Plumb had admitted into evidence an article from

1 *Rev. Med. Suisse Romande* entitled "A Case of Acute Delusional Psychosis Secondary to Dental  
2 Infection."<sup>15</sup>

3 73. Dr. Rubin testified that he did not know if the article had been peer-reviewed.  
4 Moreover, Dr. Guyette's pre-operative note indicated that the crown of the tooth was infected, as  
5 opposed to a blood-borne infection. Amoxicillin is commonly prescribed to treat mild infections; if  
6 Dr. Plumb had been suffering from a blood-borne infection, another antibiotic would have been  
7 prescribed. In his 30 years of practicing psychiatry, Dr. Rubin has never seen a tooth infection  
8 become a prelude to a psychotic episode.

9 74. Dr. Rubin admitted that he did not include the observations that Dr. Plumb had  
10 been a "model patient" at Desert Vista or that his alleged symptoms had "fully resolved" after  
11 August 29, 2007.

12  
13 <sup>15</sup> See Dr. Plumb's Ex. 37. The article recounted the author's experience with M.S., a 44-year-old  
14 Yugoslavian patient who lived in France and who complained about a toothache on May 2 and 3,  
15 1987. By May 4, 1987, after a general practitioner diagnosed periodontal pyorrhea of the upper  
16 right wisdom tooth and prescribed antibiotics and unspecified analgesics, according to his cousin,  
17 M.S. became delusional and "declare[d] that his name written down on the prescription mean[t] that  
18 he has been singled to have his throat cut." On May 5, 1987, M.S. was hospitalized and diagnosed  
with acute delusional psychosis. After being treated with antipsychotic medication, M.S.'s delusions  
resolved and he was discharged on May 15, 1987, with weekly psychiatric checkups by the author  
due to M.S.'s inability to speak French. The author, who had died before publication of the article by  
his friends, describes his treatment of M.S. and the anecdotal evidence to support his theory about  
the connection between M.S.'s psychosis and dental infection:

19 On June 19, 1987, M.S. comes in for a psychiatric checkup with swelling  
20 caused by a dental abscess. It is not painful but it deforms the right half  
21 of his face. It is only at that time that I am informed by his cousin (who  
22 always accompanied him) that M.S.'s mental illness began with pains in  
23 the same tooth in early May 1987 (which enables me to retrieve the  
24 chronological order of events and collect additional information). When  
25 the history was taken, this event was totally forgotten both by the patient  
himself and by his cousin, despite my insistence on any illness or  
disorder that might have preceded the appearance of the delirium. I  
establish that this is an abscess of the decayed upper right wisdom tooth  
and I insist that the tooth be immediately removed, which is done on  
June 22, 1987. In the checkup of June 24, the patient already feels  
clearly better, with no mental tension and no signs of psychosis or  
anxiety and with an excellent appetite and good sleep.

**The Additional Records from Desert Vista**

75. The accusation was made that Dr. Rubin selectively had relied upon records that supported his diagnoses of Dr. Plumb and had ignored records that showed that Dr. Plumb had been free of symptoms since he had been admitted to Desert Vista. These records were reviewed at the hearing.

76. On August 30, 2007 at 1255 hours, a Desert Vista Nursing Progress Note stated "Pt oriented to unit. Anticipating visit from lawyer this afternoon. Has spoken [with] family via phone. States he is unhappy [with] hospitalization, but cooperative [with] admission process. Discussed COE/COT process. Pt [illegible] sl sedation from Geodon received @ UPC. Maintaining good eye contact. Denies SI, able to verbally contract for safety. Denies A/V hallucinations."<sup>16</sup>

77. Approximately 10 hours later, at 2230 hours on August 30, 2007 on the same exhibit, the Nursing Progress Note states that "Patient's mood is dysphoric,<sup>17</sup> affect blunted. Pleasant and cooperative. Went to the cafeteria for dinner, but otherwise, isolates to his room. . . ."

78. On August 31, 2007 at 1430 hours, the Desert Vista Nursing Progress Notes state that "pt met [with] tx team. Continues to deny SI. Affect sl blunted. Mood Dysphoric. Attended groups [with] minimal participation. . . ."<sup>18</sup>

79. Seven hours later, the same exhibit shows that, on April 31, 2007 at 2130 hours, "patient's mood is euthymic, affect appropriate. Out in the mileau [sic], watching a movie in the day room. Social with select peers. Compliant with his meds and the unit's routine."

<sup>16</sup> Dr. Plumb's Ex. 16. Dr. Rubin testified that "SI" means "suicidal ideation"; "HI" means "homicidal ideation"; "A/V hallucinations" means "audio or visual hallucinations."

<sup>17</sup> Dr. Rubin testified that "euthymic mood" means normal, not indicative of mental illness. In contrast, "dysphoric" means unhappy or irritable.

<sup>18</sup> Dr. Plumb's Ex. 15.

1           80.       On September 1, 2007, at 2215 hours, a Desert Vista Behavioral Health  
2 Technician noted that "Patient's mood is quiet and calm, affect appropriate. Social with select  
3 peers. Compliant with his meds and the unit's routine."<sup>19</sup>

4           81.       On September 2, 2007 at 1500 hours, the Desert Vista Nursing Progress Note  
5 stated that, "pt is pleasant upon approach, med compliant, cooperative/friendly [with] staff, denies  
6 SI/HI, attended all groups, pt states his only thing for now is not to be so bored and to keep busy,  
7 no problems noted." At 2210 hours, "Pt is pleasant/friendly, cooperated [with] staff, denies SI/HI,  
8 denies AH/VH, Pt states he is glad he is here for tx and is feeling good but just bored, Pt states he  
9 is only worried about practicing as a MD again and getting good tx, no problems noted."<sup>20</sup>

10          82.       On September 2, 2007 at 2045 hours a Desert Vista Interdisciplinary Progress  
11 note stated that "pt visible on unit, pleasant upon approach. Social with peers and staff. Shaved.  
12 Read the DSM 4 unit book. 'I'm waiting for my discharge, I'm concerned about how being here is  
13 going to affect me being a doctor.' Encouraged pt. to attend unit groups and activities and praised  
14 positive behavior. Pt. continues to be social and exhibit positive behavior."<sup>21</sup>

15          83.       On September 3, 2007 at 2210 hours, a Desert Vista Nursing Progress Note  
16 stated "Pt compliant [with] medication & unit regime, denies SI/HUI, pt happy to have moved rooms  
17 tonight, socialized [with] others well, denies AH/VH cooperative [with] staff, no problems noted."<sup>22</sup>

18          84.       However, on that same exhibit, at 1325 hours on September 3, 2007, the notes  
19 stated that "Pt noted by staff to be smiling/laughing to self while in rec tx. Appears to be responding  
20 to internal stimuli. . . . Minimizes hx that led to hospitalization."

21          85.       On September 4, 2007 at 1030 hours, the Desert Vista Nursing Progress Note  
22 states that "Pt. presently is clear & logical, denying thoughts of wanting to harm himself or others.  
23

24 <sup>19</sup> Dr. Plumb's Ex. 14.

25 <sup>20</sup> Dr. Plumb's Ex. 13.

<sup>21</sup> Dr. Plumb's Ex.12.

<sup>22</sup> Dr. Plumb's Ex. 11.

1 Ø SI/HI, ø AH/VH. No behavioral issues." On September 4 at 1950 hours, "Pt was med/[illegible]  
2 compliant, pt. was appropriate with staff and peers. . . . Will continue to monitor."<sup>23</sup>

3 86. On September 4, 2007, at 2230 hours, a Desert Vista interdisciplinary progress  
4 note stated that "pt very visible on unit this shift. Pt attended dinner, smoke breaks and groups. Pt  
5 participated freely in group, interacting with peers and staff alike. Pt asked to speak with his  
6 employer to figure out where he stands upon discharge. Continue 15 minute safety checks help pt  
7 in his treatment goals."<sup>24</sup>

8 87. On that same exhibit, it was stated that, on September 5, 2007 at 11:15 a.m., "Clt  
9 & counselor discussed about the need to open up a little bit & be more himself. Clt states he  
10 repress his feelings often [illegible] to be perfect . . . ."

11 88. The Desert Vista Interdisciplinary Progress Notes stated that, on September 5,  
12 2007 at 2215 hours, Pt. was compliant and respectful throughout the shift. Pt offered no behavior  
13 problems. Pt. was visible on unit as he attended smoke breaks and group. Pt did not attend dinner  
14 as he stayed on unit and met with his visitor. Pt had a very positive visit with friend. Continue 15  
15 safety checks help support pt in his treatment."<sup>25</sup>

16 89. The same exhibit continues that, on September 6, 2007 at 1130 hours, Dr. Plumb  
17 was "pleasant upon approach and cooperative. Social [with] peers . . . . Admits something is  
18 wrong but not necessarily for COT process. Worried about implications [with] [illegible] of being  
19 here at hospital, affect appears blunted. Talks about submitting for court or getting a defense  
20 attorney. Currently in room reading book Q 15 minute safety checks."

21 90. On September 7, 2007 at 1500 hours, the Desert Vista notes stated that "Pt [with]  
22 appropriate affect, euthymic mood. Social [with] peers. Tolerating Geodon [without] problem.  
23 Participates in all groups and unit activities."<sup>26</sup>

24 <sup>23</sup> Dr. Plumb's Ex. 34.

25 <sup>24</sup> Dr. Plumb's Ex. 10.

<sup>25</sup> Dr. Plumb's Ex. 19.

<sup>26</sup> Dr. Plumb's Ex. 43.

1           91.       Five hours later, on the same September 7, 2007 record at 2000 hours, another  
2 Desert Vista Behavior Health Technician noted "Patient's mood is dysphoric, effect appropriate.  
3 Patient refused to go to Bingo; states he doesn't play games. . . ."

4           92.       Two hours after that, on September 7, 2007 at 2200 hours, a Desert Vista  
5 Interdisciplinary Progress Note states that "Patient visible on unit and social with peers. Patient is  
6 pleasant and cooperative upon approach. Patient expresses concern about going back to his  
7 place of work, as he is afraid they will not be trusting of his ability to perform his job. Patient ate  
8 dinner off unit and attended smoke breaks. Encourage groups and 15 min safety checks . . . ." <sup>27</sup>

9           93.       The September 9, 2007 Desert Vista Nursing Progress Notes state, that at 1405  
10 hours, "Pt is med compliant, social [with] peers, denies SI/HI, denies AH/VH, pt stated that he is  
11 ready to go home and be with his family and get his life going attain. Cooperative [with] staff  
12 attends all groups and participates, no problems noted." <sup>28</sup>

13          94.       The September 10, 2007 Desert Vista Nursing Progress Notes state that, at 1100  
14 hours, "Pt [with] appropriate affect, euthymic mood. Tolerating Geodon [without] problems. Social  
15 [with] peers, participating in groups. States "Since I've been here, every day like a Monday."  
16 Pleasant on approach. Offers no physical complaints." At 2145 hours, "Pt. attended group and  
17 stated that he has not experienced "joy." Group topic was on various emotions. Pt. stated that his  
18 fear was that he has disappointed so many people because of what has happened. . . . No  
19 behavioral problems." <sup>29</sup>

20          95.       The September 10, 2007 Desert Vista Nursing Progress Notes state that, at 2145  
21 hours, Dr. Plumb was "[v]isible in unit, social [with] peers and staff. Off unit for dinner in cafeteria &  
22 smoke breaks. Encourage groups and activities. 15 minute checks for safety Pleasant and  
23 cooperative, attended groups and safety maintained." <sup>30</sup>

24 <sup>27</sup> Dr. Plumb's Ex. 9.

25 <sup>28</sup> Dr. Plumb's Ex. 17.

<sup>29</sup> Dr. Plumb's Ex. 20.

<sup>30</sup> Dr. Plumb's Ex. 21.



1           96.     On September 11, 2007, at 0600 hours, the same exhibit stated "Patient  
2 compliant [with] medication. Patient interactive [with] staff and peers. Patient presenting no rx  
3 issues. Patient tended to hygiene. Patient stated anxiety [illegible] to upcoming court date. Patient  
4 to cont tx compliance/Patient Q15 checks for safety."

5           97.     The September 12, 2007 discharge plan from Desert Vista identified that  
6 "stresses leading to placement" as "work, relationship, [and] tooth pain extraction."<sup>31</sup> The Axis V  
7 GAF was 80. Dr. Plumb's treating psychiatrist Dr. Merrill and social worker Judith E. Lunkley had  
8 signed the discharge plan. Dr. Merrill's diagnoses was Psychotic D/O NOS Resolved (Axis I) and  
9 deferred Axis II Narcissistic Traits.

10          98.     Dr. Rubin admitted that 80 is a high score. He believes that the social worker, nor  
11 Dr. Merrill, assigned this score to Dr. Plumb. The GAF rating scale is subjective and depends on  
12 the observer. It is not unusual for people to come to different numbers on different days.  
13 Moreover, Dr. Merrill is close to the same age as Dr. Plumb. Dr. Merrill would have been less  
14 concerned about the possible progression of Dr. Plumb's disease. Finally, it is common for patients  
15 to take a "flight into health" while they are in a protective situation.

16          99.     Dr. Rubin pointed out that Dr. Merrill's original discharge note have the Axis I  
17 diagnosis of "Brief Psychotic D/O" and omitted the "resolved."<sup>32</sup>

18          100.    Dr. Rubin testified that the "rule out" diagnoses in Dr. Martin's and Dr. Van  
19 Doren's records mean that, if a possible diagnosis cannot be tested, the practitioner must rely on  
20 the "tincture of time." The euphemism is a "red flag" to make a concern or provisional diagnosis  
21 known. In young adults, personality disorders such as borderline personality disorder may manifest  
22 under stress after a 10-year lapse.

23  
24  
25                 <sup>31</sup> See Dr. Plumb's Ex. 22.

<sup>32</sup> Dr. Plumb's Ex. 39.

1           101.     Dr. Rubin testified that, in his opinion, the nuances of the Desert Vista treatment  
2 notes and "the sensitivity of this case" indicated that Drs. Brennan and Merrill did not feel that Dr.  
3 Plumb should be released from Desert Vista.

4           102.     Dr. Rubin testified that the 15 minute safety checks referenced in the Desert Vista  
5 records evidenced a serious concern about Dr. Plumb's safety. Dr. Plumb testified that the 15-  
6 minute checks were routine; all the patients at Desert Vista were checked every 15 minutes.

7                           **Harriet Plumb Messing's Testimony**

8           103.     Mrs. Messing testified that, on October 6, 2007, Dr. Plumb had been living in the  
9 family home in Tucson. She helped him prepare for his appointment with Dr. Rubin by ironing his  
10 shirt, which was a blue-and-white striped Ralph Lauren designer shirt. Dr. Plumb had also chosen  
11 to wear boots and "nice" jeans. His hair was crewcut, as always. Mrs. Messing testified that Dr.  
12 Plumb was not disheveled when he left for his appointment with Dr. Rubin.

13           104.     Mrs. Messing testified that Dr. Van Doren had cleared Dr. Plumb for travel  
14 between Tucson and Phoenix. Dr. Van Doren had also cleared Dr. Plumb for non-medical  
15 employment. Dr. Plumb was looking for work.

16           105.     Mrs. Messing testified that the coroner had ruled her first husband's death to be  
17 accidental. Mr. Plumb had been changing the antifreeze in her car in the garage and had gotten  
18 antifreeze on the sole of his shoe. He went into the house to answer a ringing telephone, leaving  
19 the car running, as was required to change antifreeze. When Mr. Plumb went back into the garage,  
20 he slipped on a step and gashed his head and somehow hit the garage door opener in the pocket  
21 of his windbreaker, which closed the garage door. Dr. Plumb had admitted into evidence the death  
22 certificate, which had ruled the death accidental. Mrs. Messing testified that Dr. Rubin's suggestion  
23 that Dr. Plumb's father's death had been a suicide was "reckless."  
24  
25

1           106.     Mrs. Messing testified that, in late August, she had called Dr. Plumb four times  
2 and left messages. He did not return the messages, which was very unusual. Dr. Plumb is very  
3 close to his family.

4           107.     Mrs. Messing testified that she had recommended the book *Of Human Bondage*  
5 to Dr. Plumb. Dr. Rubin had gotten the story wrong; the book had a happy ending.

6                               **Dr. Plumb's Testimony**

7           108.     Dr. Plumb testified that, while he was in high school, he played football, hockey,  
8 and in a jazz band. He graduated *cum laude* from University of California at Davis. He graduated  
9 in the top quarter of his class from the University of Arizona School of Medicine. He was told that  
10 his board scores were the top in his class.

11          109.     Dr. Plumb continued to play hockey while he was in medical school. He testified  
12 that he has "quite a few" close friends. He traveled to the west coast during his senior year in  
13 college and, more recently, to Costa Rica with his step-brothers and other friends. After graduation  
14 from medical school, he traveled to Eastern Europe with the same group. He and his brothers and  
15 friends call themselves "the Company."

16          110.     Dr. Plumb testified that, after he started at Mayo Clinic in June 2006, he routinely  
17 worked between 80 and 100 hours per week. He worked 30+ hour call shifts every 3-4 days. He  
18 did not have a lot of time to socialize but nonetheless made close friends during his internship,  
19 including James Hart, a senior intern, and Tiffany Lunt, Matt Johnson, and other residents. He  
20 recently attended to Dr. Hart's wedding with a date.

21          111.     Dr. Plumb explained that he sometimes experienced loneliness when he returned  
22 to his empty apartment after work. Most of his fellow residents were married or engaged and had  
23 little time to socialize with a single man.

24          112.     Dr. Plumb testified that Dr. Hovan was a resident advisor. Dr. Hovan is well-  
25 respected at Mayo Clinic family medicine residency program and became Dr. Plumb's mentor. Dr.

1 Plumb looked up to Dr. Hovan. Dr. Hovan called Dr. Plumb "the professor" because Dr. Plumb was  
2 knowledgeable about many different things. Dr. Hovan had told Dr. Plumb that, later in the second  
3 year of his residency, he should run for the chief residency position, which is a liaison between  
4 residents and faculty and is determined by a vote among residents. Dr. Hovan had also invited Dr.  
5 Plumb to dinner at his home, even though there was not much fraternization between faculty and  
6 residents at Mayo Clinic. Dr. Hovan had given Dr. Plumb substantial feedback, and had let him  
7 know that he was well thought of and well liked at Mayo Clinic and there were no problems with his  
8 residency.

9 113. Dr. Plumb testified that, in February 2007, he did a rotation in pediatrics. Due to  
10 an outbreak of RSV in the pediatric population, he worked 21 14-hour days straight and 30-35  
11 hours straight if he were on call, in violation of guidelines issued by the Associated Council for  
12 Graduate Medical Education ("ACGME"), which accredits residency programs. Residents are told  
13 to avoid violation of ACGME guidelines but sometimes cannot, because they're the only medical  
14 provider. Dr. Plumb testified that it was not acceptable in the Mayo Clinic program for residents to  
15 take time off for minor illnesses or social commitments.

16 114. Dr. Plumb testified that he started a cardiology program in July 2007. The  
17 program head wrote him a very complimentary e-mail. He tried to obtain a copy of the e-mail for  
18 the hearing, but access to his e-mail account had been blocked.

19 115. Dr. Plumb had admitted into evidence the results of a Hair 5 Drug Panel of hair  
20 taken on October 29, 2007.<sup>33</sup> The test was negative for amphetamines, cocaine metabolites,  
21 opiates, phencyclidine, and THC metabolites.

22 116. Dr. Plumb testified that he has not drunk alcohol at all since October 2007.  
23 Between September 12, 2007 and early October, he may have had a beer or two with his brothers.  
24

25  

---

<sup>33</sup> Dr. Plumb's Ex. 47.

1 He did not drink while he was taking the Geodon, because alcohol is contraindicated. Dr. Plumb  
2 testified that he drank twice in August 2007. He had one or two cocktails at a party the Friday  
3 before he had his wisdom teeth removed and, on August 28, 2007, had a glass of wine with dinner  
4 on a date. He does not remember drinking at all for June, July, or the remainder of August 2007.

5 117. Dr. Plumb testified that he did have several drinks at a wedding in late May 2007.  
6 Between January and May, 2007, he drank "rarely." Before that, he also drank rarely.

7 118. Dr. Plumb testified that, since he started his residency, he did not use any drugs  
8 except those that had been prescribed to him. He has never evaluated or treated a patient while  
9 under the influence of drugs or alcohol.

10 119. Dr. Plumb testified that he has never abused legal or illegal drugs, although he  
11 did experiment on a limited basis. As an undergraduate, he tried methamphetamine once and  
12 cocaine twice. He tried marijuana in high school, but did not like it. He last used illegal drugs at  
13 least five years ago, when he received his undergraduate degree.

14 120. Dr. Plumb testified that, after the cardiology rotation, he did an elective  
15 emergency medicine rotation at the Cigna Urgent Care Clinic in Glendale. He was also doing a  
16 required clinic in primary or continuity of care under the supervision of a member of the faculty. He  
17 was told that he was required to spend 40 hours per week at the Urgent Care Clinic but that the  
18 time was "flex time."

19 121. Dr. Plumb testified that, on August 12, 2007, he had a toothache. So he stayed  
20 at home and called oral surgeon Dr. Guyette to schedule surgery. Before August 12, 2007, he had  
21 never missed work required by his residency. Dr. Plumb testified that he experienced increasing  
22 pain and malaise from his wisdom teeth. He kept thinking that, after he felt better, he could make  
23 the time up by working two 20-hour shifts over the weekend, which is not unusual. By the  
24 weekend, he felt worse but did not call anyone. After he missed work the first weekend, he realized  
25

1 he had "dug himself into a hole." He still did not call anyone. In retrospect, he knows that his  
2 failure to contact anyone about missing work or his condition was a mistake.

3 122. Dr. Plumb was supposed to attend a Mayo Clinic recruitment dinner in Tucson on  
4 the weekend before the scheduled wisdom teeth extraction. But he felt too ill to drive to Tucson.  
5 He did not call anyone at Mayo Clinic to tell them he was ill because he felt ashamed. He feared  
6 he would lose his residency.

7 123. Dr. Plumb testified that he believed that his condition would quickly improve after  
8 he underwent oral surgery to remove the wisdom teeth. But it did not: his gums were bleeding and  
9 had pus and he vomited blood. He was not able to eat. Dr. Guyette had prescribed 2 tabs of  
10 Lortab every 4-6 hours. Dr. Plumb took 3 tabs for the first dose because he was in such severe  
11 pain.

12 124. Dr. Plumb testified that he had appeared to work at the Continuity of Care Clinic,  
13 but not after taking Lortab. Late in the first day following the wisdom teeth extraction, Dr. Plumb  
14 had a dead battery in his car. Dr. Plumb testified that Dr. Edwards had testified at the civil  
15 commitment hearing that he had not missed work at the continuity of care clinic.

16 125. Dr. Plumb testified that, after the second weekend passed, he stayed in his  
17 apartment, hiding. He had worked very hard to get to that point in his career. He did not talk to his  
18 family. He was "waiting for the sword to fall." He tried to sleep, but couldn't, due to the pain from  
19 his teeth.

20 126. Dr. Plumb testified that he went out on a date on the evening of August 28, 2007.  
21 When his family came to check up on him, he did not respond because he did not want to reveal  
22 that he had been missing work and "wouldn't be a resident anymore." He did not know his date, so  
23 did not have to worry about keeping up appearances for her.

24 127. Dr. Plumb testified that, when Dr. Edwards came to his apartment, he heard  
25 knocking. He kept turning the music up because he did not want to talk to anyone. When he heard

1 a "breaking noise," he went into the closet to get dressed. He asked the police whether breaking  
2 into his apartment was constitutional.

3 128. Dr. Plumb testified that Dr. Edwards and his friend Dr. Tiffany Lunt told him that  
4 they were concerned about him and wanted him to go to the Mayo Clinic to be treated by a  
5 psychiatrist. Dr. Plumb did not want to go to the emergency room because his fellow residents  
6 would see him and he would be embarrassed. Dr. Edwards said he could be seen at the  
7 Occupational Health Department. Dr. Plumb was very concerned about confidentiality because, if  
8 his fellow residents saw him, he would be "humiliated." Dr. Edwards assured him that only he and  
9 Dr. Lunt would know about the treatment.

10 129. Dr. Plumb testified that, when they drove into the Mayo Clinic parking lot, Dr.  
11 Hovan met them. Dr. Plumb knew that Dr. Edwards had lied. Dr. Hovan was the physician that Dr.  
12 Plumb most respected at Mayo Clinic. Seeing him in the parking lot made Dr. Plumb very hurt and  
13 angry.

14 130. Dr. Plumb testified that he waited 20 or 30 minutes for Dr. Martin. When she  
15 began the interview, he was still very hurt and angry about Dr. Edwards breaking down his door  
16 and about Dr. Edwards telling Dr. Hovan about the incident. Dr. Plumb knew that Dr. Martin was  
17 expecting him to behave as if he was mentally ill, so he fabricated answers to appear especially ill.

18 131. Dr. Plumb testified that he has tremendous regrets about missing work because  
19 his tooth ached and he had been overworked. He would have told the truth except that he was  
20 feeling ill and needed time off.

21 132. Dr. Plumb testified that Dr. Martin interviewed him on a Wednesday. He had  
22 been planning a long trip with his brother to the east coast the following weekend. When he told  
23 Dr. Lunt and another resident that he would not see them the next week, he was referring to the  
24 planned trip, not to an intention to commit suicide.

1           133.     Dr. Plumb testified that, after he told Dr. Martin about the homunculus, that he  
2 was immune to conventional weapons, that he had taken a massive overdose of the Lortab, and  
3 that his former girlfriend had filed a restraining order against him, Dr. Martin "appeared visibly  
4 shaken and frightened" and said he should be hospitalized. He said he would think about it.

5           134.     Dr. Plumb testified he was alone with a security guard for about an hour. Later he  
6 met with his attorney, Mr. Messing, and his mother and sister. His family was not concerned about  
7 whether he ever worked as a physician; they just wanted him to be happy. He and his family  
8 agreed that it would be better for him to seek voluntary treatment in Tucson.

9           135.     Dr. Plumb testified that, after his family left, Dr. Martin returned with the CPR  
10 representative to evaluate him for involuntary commitment. He had calmed down and was feeling  
11 better. He told Dr. Martin that he had fabricated most of the interview.

12           136.     After Dr. Martin left, the involuntary commitment proceedings continued. The  
13 police came, Dr. Plumb was handcuffed and strapped to a gurney, and wheeled through the  
14 emergency room to an ambulance, which transported him to Desert Vista.

15           137.     Dr. Plumb testified that he cooperated at all times in his treatment and evaluation  
16 at Desert Vista. He was at Desert Vista for two weeks. He understood that he would need to be  
17 hospitalized for 72 hours, beginning on the first full day. Because the first full day was the Friday  
18 before the Labor Day weekend and the first hearing date on the court calendar was September 12,  
19 2007, the 72 hours stretched into two weeks.

20           138.     Dr. Plumb testified that he was started on Geodon and kept taking Geodon until  
21 shortly after his discharge from Desert Vista. Geodon made Dr. Plumb feel fatigued and made it  
22 difficult for him to concentrate. He complained about the side effects to Dr. Van Doren, who Dr.  
23 Plumb testified was "especially on guard against manipulation," and Dr. Van Doren discontinued  
24 the Geodon on October 2, 2007. Dr. Plumb testified that he has had no ill effects of the  
25 discontinuance of the Geodon.



1           139.     Dr. Plumb testified that he started seeing Dr. Van Doren three or four days after  
2 he was discharged from Desert Vista and returned to his family in Tucson. Dr. Plumb admitted to  
3 telling Dr. Martin a "whopper," testified he had told the truth to the CPR representative, and testified  
4 that he did not tell the truth to caregivers at Desert Vista because he was "trying to negotiate what  
5 to say to earn release." Over the Labor Day weekend, he concluded that he could not live with  
6 lying to his physician and needed to tell the truth to obtain appropriate medical care and maintain  
7 honesty.

8           140.     Dr. Plumb testified that, after Dr. Van Doren released him to return to non-medical  
9 work, he sent out resumes and enrolled in a Spanish class, so that when he goes back to work he  
10 can be a better doctor.

11           141.     Dr. Plumb testified that he received his medical license while he was hospitalized  
12 at Desert Vista. He has never seen or tried to see a patient since he received his license because  
13 he does not think that he is sufficiently trained to see patients on his own. When he mentioned  
14 moonlighting to Dr. Rubin, he was referring to the practice among third-year residents at Mayo  
15 Clinic, which was "unofficially encouraged." To moonlight, a resident would need clearance from  
16 the Mayo Clinic program director. Dr. Plumb has never asked for such clearance. If he  
17 moonlighted without such clearance, his residency could be terminated. Dr. Plumb testified that he  
18 never told Dr. Rubin that he was thinking of working as a doctor without completing his residency.

#### 19                           CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

20           1.       The Board is the duly constituted authority for licensing and regulating the  
21 practice of allopathic medicine in the State of Arizona. This matter lies within its jurisdiction.

22           2.       The Board bears the burden of proof and must establish cause to penalize Dr.  
23 Plumb's license under applicable statute by a preponderance of the evidence.<sup>34</sup> Dr. Plumb bears  
24 the burden of proof to establish affirmative defenses by the same evidentiary standard.<sup>35</sup>

25           <sup>34</sup> See A.R.S. § 41-1092.07(G)(2); A.A.C. R2-19-119(A) and (B)(1); see also *Vazanno v. Superior Court*, 74 Ariz. 369, 372, 249 P.2d 837 (1952).

1           3.       Dr. Plumb argued that the Board had violated his right to due process by not  
2 accommodating his own or his attorney's schedules and delaying the second Board interview and  
3 the Board meeting that resulted in the order summarily suspending his license. Division 1 of the  
4 Arizona Court of Appeals has recognized a similar claim in a recent opinion:

5           Petitioner asserts a property interest in his dental license and entitlement to  
6 due process before that license is taken or impaired. See *Comeau v. Ariz.*  
7 *State Bd. of Dental Exam'rs*, 196 Ariz. 102, 106, ¶ 18, 993 P.2d 1066,  
8 10070 (App. 1999). The State is authorized to protect the public health by  
9 regulating those who practice a profession. See *Ariz. State Bd. of Dental*  
10 *Exam'rs v. Fleischman*, 167 Ariz. 311, 314, 806 P.2d 900, 903 (App. 1990).  
But, "[f]or those who are qualified, the practice of a profession is a right, not  
just a privilege," and "[b]efore the State can curtail that right, it must afford  
due process of law." *Schillerstrom v. State*, 180 Ariz. 468, 471, 885 P.2d  
156, 159 (App. 1994) (citing *Application of Levine*, 97 Ariz. 88, 90-91, 397  
P.2d 205, 206-07 (1964)).

11 *Dahnad v. Buttrick*, 201 Ariz. 394, 398, ¶14, 36 P.3d 742, 746 (App. 2001).

12           4.       A.R.S. § 41-1092.11(B) provides as follows:

13       Revocation, suspension, annulment or withdrawal of any license is not lawful  
14 unless, before the action, the agency provides the licensee with notice and an  
15 opportunity for a hearing in accordance with this article. *If the agency finds*  
16 *that the public health, safety or welfare imperatively requires emergency*  
*action, and incorporates a finding to that effect in its order, the agency may*  
*order summary suspension of a license pending proceedings for revocation*  
*or other action.* These proceedings shall be promptly instituted and  
determined.

17       [Emphasis added.] This statute "contemplate[s] and permit[s] a summary suspension  
18 without notice or a pre-suspension hearing when emergency circumstances imperatively require  
19 such action before a hearing can be provided," as long as a formal post-suspension hearing is  
20 "promptly instituted and determined." *Dahnad*, 201 Ariz. at 399, ¶18, 36 P.2d at 747.

21           5.       The Board provided Dr. Plumb with unsigned consent decrees on October 1 and  
22 October 11, 2007, both of which did not require any admission of wrongdoing but only required that  
23 he agree not to practice medicine. Dr. Plumb declined to sign both decrees. The Board also  
24 continued its interview once to accommodate Dr. Plumb and his attorney.

25  
<sup>35</sup> A.A.C. R2-19-119(B)(2).

1           6.       Although Dr. Plumb argues that he was not practicing allopathic medicine in any  
2 event, the Board is not required to accept a licensee's avowal. It appears that the Board attempted  
3 to work with Dr. Plumb out of solicitude from him and his family. But the protection of the public  
4 health, safety and welfare may require the Board to ensure that a doctor who is physically or  
5 mentally unable to safely practice medicine cannot lawfully practice medicine.

6           7.       Under A.R.S. § 41-1092.11(B), if the Board found that the public health, safety, or  
7 welfare imperatively required emergency action, it could have summarily suspended Dr. Plumb's  
8 license without any advance notice whatsoever.

9           8.       On October 11, 2007, Dr. Plumb had been placed on disability from the Mayo  
10 Clinic residency program after he stopped meeting his responsibilities and made bizarre and  
11 alarming statements to a Mayo Clinic psychiatrist. He had avoided involuntary commitment only by  
12 voluntarily agreeing to undergo psychiatric treatment. Even if Dr. Plumb had told the truth to Dr.  
13 Rubin and at hearing that he had lied to Dr. Martin out of pique, his behavior showed such  
14 extraordinarily poor judgment, especially for a licensed physician with a prior stellar record at Mayo  
15 Clinic, to cause concern about his ability to safely practice. As of October 11, 2007, various  
16 psychiatrists had diagnosed Dr. Plumb with Psychosis NOS, Adjustment Disorder with Disturbance  
17 of Mood and Conduct, Borderline Personality with Narcissistic and Antisocial Traits. Dr. Van Doren  
18 had given the rule-out diagnoses of Psychotic Disorder, Bipolar Disorder NOS, and Antisocial  
19 Personality.

20           9.       With this undisputed evidence, the Board has borne its burden to establish that  
21 protection the public health, safety, and welfare required it to take emergency action under A.R.S. §  
22 42-1092.11(B) and A.R.S. § 32-1451(D).<sup>36</sup> Because the Board's findings were neither arbitrary nor

23 <sup>36</sup> This statute is similar to A.R.S. § 41-1092.11(B) and provides:

24           If the board finds, based on the information it receives . . . that the public health,  
25 safety or welfare imperatively requires emergency action and incorporates a  
finding to that effect in its order, the board may restrict a license or order a  
summary suspension of a license pending proceedings for revocation or other

1 capricious nor an abuse of the Board's discretion, the October 11, 2007 order summarily  
2 suspending Dr. Plumb's license must be upheld.

3 10. The drug tests show that that Dr. Plumb probably has not been using cocaine,  
4 methamphetamine, or marijuana. Although the hair samples were not tested for alcohol or a  
5 narcotic such as the hydrocodone in Lortab, it does not appear that Dr. Plumb was actively  
6 abusing any substance in August, September, and October 2007. A residential substance abuse  
7 evaluation is not warranted at this time.

8 11. But Dr. Plumb has not borne his burden of proof to establish that it is more likely  
9 than not that the bizarre behavior that led to his evaluation by Dr. Martin and his statements to  
10 Dr. Martin were more likely than not manifestations of an unusual medical and psychiatric  
11 condition caused by the extraction of his wisdom teeth. He has not established that, as of the  
12 date of the hearing, he was fully recovered.

13 12. Dr. Plumb has established that his condition has improved substantially. The  
14 Board should defer to Mayo Clinic's or another residency program's determination of when Dr.  
15 Plumb should be allowed to resume or begin another residency, under appropriate supervision.

16 13. Dr. Rubin's testimony taken as a whole was credible, although his sartorial  
17 standards may be unduly harsh and his literary inferences may be suspect. Because the cause  
18 of Dr. Plumb's crisis and the truth of his various statements at various times to various doctors  
19 remain at issue, the Board has borne its burden to establish a need for non-disciplinary  
20 substance abuse monitoring and practice restrictions.

21  
22  
23  
24  
25  

---

action. If the board takes action pursuant to this subsection it shall also serve the  
licensee with a written notice that states the charges and that the licensee is  
entitled to a formal hearing before the board or an administrative law judge within  
sixty days.

1 ORDER

2 Based upon the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law as adopted, the Board  
3 hereby enters the following Order:

4 1. On the effective date of the Board's order in this matter, the Board lifts the  
5 summary suspension imposed on October 11, 2007 and reinstates License No. 37523 previously  
6 issued to Dr. Plumb, subject to the following conditions as a non-disciplinary practice limitation:

7 2. Dr. Plumb's license is limited in that for at least twelve months, Dr. Plumb  
8 should remain under the care of a Board-approved psychiatrist, who may be Dr. Van Doren,  
9 subject to the Board's approval, at Dr. Plumb's own expense.

10 3. Dr. Plumb shall instruct his treating psychiatrist to issue quarterly reports to the  
11 Board about his diagnosis, treatment, and prognosis, on March 15, June 15, September 15, and  
12 December 15 of each year.

13 4. Dr. Plumb shall not enter an independent medical practice until (a) he meets  
14 with the Board and receives its approval to do so, (b) his treating psychiatrist releases him to  
15 such practice and (c) a forensic psychiatric examination by a Board-approved psychiatrist, at Dr.  
16 Plumb's cost, confirms his return to a pre-morbidity level of functioning and ability to safely  
17 practice independently, with no signs of mental illness.

18 5. For two years, Dr. Plumb shall be subject to random drug and alcohol  
19 monitoring by a Board-approved testing service, at his expense.  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

1                                    **RIGHT TO PETITION FOR REHEARING OR REVIEW**

2                    Respondent is hereby notified that he has the right to petition for a rehearing or review  
3 by filing a petition with the Board's Executive Director within thirty (30) days after service of this  
4 Order. A.R.S. § 41-1092.09. The petition must set forth legally sufficient reasons for granting a  
5 rehearing. A.C.C. R4-16-103. Service of this order is effective five (5) days after date of mailing.  
6 If a motion for rehearing is not filed, the Board's Order becomes effective thirty-five (35) days after  
7 it is mailed to Respondent.

8                    Respondent is further notified that the filing of a motion for rehearing is required to  
9 preserve any rights of appeal to the Superior Court.

10                   Dated this 11<sup>TH</sup> day of February, 2008.



ARIZONA MEDICAL BOARD

By:   
Lisa Wynn  
Executive Director

Original of the foregoing filed this  
11<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2008, with:

Arizona Medical Board  
9545 East Doubletree Ranch Road  
Scottsdale, AZ 85258

Copy of the foregoing filed this  
11<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2008, with:

Cliff J. Vanell, Director  
Office of Administrative Hearings  
1400 W. Washington, Ste. 101  
Phoenix, AZ 85007

Executed copy of the foregoing mailed  
by US Mail this 11<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2008, to:

Daniel I. Plumb, M.D.  
(Address of record)

Dean Brekke  
Assistant Attorney General  
Office of the Attorney General  
CIV/LES  
1275 W. Washington  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

